App. F. IX-4

Previous References. Bangalore 21 of 1906.1-4. (Survey)
4 Y 103-07.
101-23-
316-22-1-425
611-20-4.5-
Later References.

1944.

File No. 184-44,
Serial Nos. 1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Two

Part B for May 1945.

SUBJECT Confirmation of a portion of Inam S. No. 13. of Hanumanthapura, Bangalore North Taluk endowed to Gopalaraja with the present occupant for building purposes.

No. R. 7084-8 dated 16-5-1945.

To
The Commissioner
Revenue Commissioner
Commissioner for Settlement
Municipal Commissioner Bangalore
City Municipality.
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt

Sanctions in recommendation

Bangalore
25-5-45

of inam S.No-13 of Hanumanthapura, Bangalore N. Taluk, Dhuriahs mutt, to the present occupant for building purposes.

4106/R.

Sheet. Mwz File No. / Current No. 184 ——— of 1944-45 Page. 1

[1] Letter No. P-1 – C.565/43-44, dated the 15th February 1945, from the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore, Soliciting, in the circumstances reported by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, sanction to the cancellation of the inam tenure in respect of two guntas of land out of Devadaya inam S No 13 of Hanumanthapura Village, Bangalore North Taluk endowed to Godhuriah's Mutt, Bangalore city, and the confirmation of this land to the present occupant, Ramachandriah of Gandhinagar for building purposes

Godhuriah's Mutt is situated to the East of Subedar chatram Road near Annamma Temple in the city and is contiguous to the inam land granted for its upkeep more than 100 years ago, portions of which have already been acquired for the formation of Gandhinagar Extension

(2) The extract of the Inam Register pertaining to Hanumanthapu available here discloses that this Village belonged formerly to Halsoor Hobli of Bangalore (now North) Taluk – Dry land measuring K K P 0-8-2 (old measure of Beejawaru) and assessed at 5 Paigodas – according to the Huzur Jare Account of 1808 — was granted as inam for the benefit of Godhuriah's Mutt – During the Inam Settlement in 1875, the land bearing old S.No 23, was finally confirmed to the institution on a jodi of Rs 6/= per annum This land bears ~~The~~ Re. S.No. 13 and is 7 acres 12 guntas in area, consisting of a dry portion of 2 acres 24 guntas, assessed at Rs. 4/=, and a garden portion of 2 acres 21 guntas, assessed at Rs 23/=, as well as a kharab portion of 1 acre 22 guntas.

(3) Portions of this S.No were alienated by the person in charge of the Mutt long ago – nearly a century ago – but the alienation some how escaped the notice of the Inam Settlement officers Portions were sold or mortgaged in 1848-49, and later on in 1862-63 there was further alienation In 1890 one Siddaia a descendant of the original grantee, filed a suit before the Distt judge, Bangalore, for a declaration of his title to and the possession of the

WD 0726—GPB—25,000—15-9-41

alienated portions of the inam land of the Mutt, and, it was decreed that the lands in question were the property of the institution and that the defendants, who were only tenants in possession, should pay to the Poojari of the Mutt an annual rent of Rs. 26/=. The tenants having failed to pay the rent, the Poojari applied to the D.C, Bangalore Distt., in 1904, for the resumption of the lands and their restoration to the institution, and the D.C, thereupon, directed the eviction of the tenants and the restoration of the lands occupied by them. The matter came up before Govt as an appeal from the tenants, and Govt. were pleased to confirm the D.C's order, — G.O. No. 1311-3/Mwx. F. 21 of 1906, dated 3.9.1907.

(4) As the alienees had constructed houses on the lands and pleaded their inability to vacate them, Govt directed that these tenants (alienees) might be allowed to continue in possession for a period of 50 years on payment of Rs. 50/= as annual ground rent including the arrears of rent from the year 1891 and that this amount might be credited to the Mutt. — G.O. No. 1190-Mwx. 604-162, dated 23.11.1917. The parties however refused to execute a mutchalika and pay the rent fixed by Govt. So, Govt. had to order their summary eviction of these defaulters G.O. No. 2835/Mwx. 611-20, dated 5.12.1921. Subsequently they agreed to abide by the order of Govt. dated 23.11.1917 and paid the rent due up to the end of 1922-23. Thereupon Govt. directed the withdrawal of eviction proceedings and allowed the lease for 50 years commencing from 1917-18 subject to the payment of ground rent as already fixed. — G.O. No. 806-7/Mwx 13-24-4 dated 29.11.1924.

(5) Poojari Siddiah having petitioned Govt. in December 1924, stating that the tenants had encroached upon the inam land, the D.C. was asked to submit a report in the matter. As the

Confirmation of a portion of S.No.13 of Hanumanthapura, Bangalore N. Taluk, endowed to Godhuriah's Mutt, to the present occupant, for building purposes.

land had been built upon indiscriminately, as boundary marks had disappeared situated as they were in the heart of the city, as many huts had also been put up in the area and as it was impossible to cultivate the land, the D.C. proposed that this S.No. might be resumed and disposed of after being converted into building sites, the conversion fine recoverable in respect of these sites being credited to Govt. the remaining amount being invested in Govt. securities for the benefit of the institution. Thereupon, the First Member of Council, inspected the land with the D.C. It was found that a portion of the S.No had been acquired for the formation of a road running from north to south and that the compensation had been utilised for purchasing some land in Magadi Taluk for the Mutt. The Mutt building which was itself situated on the land, was in a dilapidated condition, and the Poojari (Siddiah) had appropriated for his personal use, the realisations in respect of the portions of the inam he had unauthorisedly alienated.

(6) After a full consideration of the circumstances of the case, Govt. decided that the inam should be resumed and dealt with the other neighbouring lands involved in the layout of an extension near the central jail and that some suitable land in Bangalore Taluk or anywhere else might be granted in exchange to the institution. — G.O.No.390-4/Mwz.252-26-4, dated 3.9.1927.

(7) Further encroachments in the S.No having come to his notice, the D.C. sought instructions of Govt. regarding the resumption of such encroachments, Govt. therefore issued orders to the effect that as the S.No. was lying in the vicinity of the Central jail, it might be acquired in consultation with the Municipality, for the proposed new extension. — G.O. No 1758/Mwz 252-26-6, dated 31.3.1928. The Municipal authorities having agreed to take up this land for the extension, Govt. directed that the lease for 50 years from 1917-18 might be terminated either by negotiation or, in the alternative by a

to Godhuriah's Mutt, to the present occupant for building 4106/R.

reference of the dispute to a Court of Law under Section 30 of the Land Acqn. Act. — G.O.No. 799/Mwx 252-26-8, dated 16.10.1928. "O-I"

'8 Since the alienees were not in favour of terminating the lease by negotiation and surrendering portions of land in their occupation', and since they had further encroached upon the inam land to the extent of about 15 guntas, Govt sanctioned the acquisition of S.No.13 along with other lands in their order No. L 7616-9/Ml. 33-32-98, dated 19-5-1933. "P1"

When the question of compensation awarded by the Ml. Commr. for S.No. 13 was taken up it was ascertained that the alienated portions had not been acquired. In order to settle this question and the apportionment of compensation between the Mutt and the tenants the M.C. inspected the locality with the D.C., and the Ml. Commr., on 10.7.1934 "P" and proposed, in consultation with them, that the whole S.No. might be acquired, excluding the Mutt itself and the surrounding structures. This was at the commencement of 1934-35. In February 1935, the Ml. Council decided to acquire this land and Govt. were accordingly pleased to approve of the proposal of the M.C. — G.O.No. 1393/Mwx 252-26, dated the 26th April 1935.

(9) Pursuant to this order portions of S.No. have been acquired from time to time and the area converted into building sites.

(10) In connection with the formation of a new road running east to west from the central jail corner to Lubdeo or Chattram Road, portions of the vacant land lying to the west of Godhuriah's Mutt, and the temporary structures erected on it were notified for acquisition. — Notification No. L 3136/Ml., dated 25.10.1941 (Gaz. d. 30.10.41 - Part III-I - p. 1376). The Ml. Commr. addressed the D.C., Bangalore on 4.11.41. Asst. to the effect that one Ramachandriah had been constructing a building on this vacant site in front of the Mutt, abutting the channel near the corner of Amamma Temple, although he was

refused license to start on the work. and that although preliminary notification had been published in respect of the properties in question the party was proceeding with the work and requested the D C to issue orders for the demolition of the new structure.

(11) The matter having been referred to the local officers, the Amildar issued notices to one Annaiahappa and to Ramachandraiah to cease construction and to appear before him in connection with an enquiry to remove the unauthorised encroachment on the inam land endowed to the Mutt. From the documents produced by Ramachandraiah, it is seen that Siddalingaswamy, the then Poojaru, had leased out a portion of the inam lying towards the west of the Mutt and south of Dattatraya temple situated closeby to one Uddanna, in 1849, on condition that the lessee delivered 25 seers of rice to the Mutt annually for use during the 'aradhana' of the previous Swamy of the Mutt Although the lease was originally meant to last for 5 years only, it was continued without interruption till 1862-3, when Marappa(?), son of Uddanna, entered into an agreement with Siddalinga Swamy (or Siddaiah?) obtaining the lease of this portion of land for a period of 15 years, for a rent of Rs 15/= per annum

"D" ಶ್ರೀಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಮಂಪ್ಪ ಕುಪ್ಪ ಪಂಚಮಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪಾರ.

"B" ಪುಂಡುಪ್ಪ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ ಬೆಟ್ಟಪ್ಪ ದೊಡ್ಡಪ್ಪ

(12) Thereafter this portion of the inam s.no has been treated as private property and has changed many hands, by way of sale, mortgage or lease Municipal tax has been levied and paid in respect of this land even as long ago as 1896 and in 1922 the Municipality issued a license to one Sarode Shetty Gangadharappa to put up some structure on the site - [License No 1263 dated the 15th August 1922] On 20 10 36 this Gangadharappa and six of his kinsmen jointly sold the land to one Annaiahappa for Rs 1350/=, and the latter sold it to Ramachandraiah on 28 7 41 for Rs 2600/=. Annaiahappa had also obtained a license from the Municipality (No 1103, dated 12 2 37) to effect some alterations to the temporary shed that had been erected on this land

"E"

"F"

"C"

"G"

(13) Ramachandraiah now pleads that he purchased the land under the belief

Gosthuriah's Matt, to the present occupant for building

that it was Municipal land and had no idea that it was part of an inam S.No. because of the Municipal tax receipts and the licenses issued by the Municipality, and prays that the Chief Commissioner's notification regarding alienation prior to 1853 may be applied to his case

(14) The local officers recommend that this portion of land which measures about 2 guntas, may be confirmed to the purchaser at an upset price of Rs 2000/- per acre and conversion fine at the same rate may also be recovered from him, after cancelling the inam tenure

(15) The D.C. reports that this portion of land does not form part of (i) the extent of the land already acquired or notified for acquisition or (ii) of the extent of 11 guntas wrongfully alienated by the Poojaris and subsequently leased out for 50 years by Govt in favour of the occupants thereof Out of the total extent of 7 acres 2 guntas, 5 acres and 3/4 gunta have been accounted for in the report of the D.C. In the absence of the records of encroachments, it is not clear whether the entire remaining extent of 2 acre and 1/4 gunta has been involved in the encroachments, or only 15 guntas as reported in 1933 and if so what has happened to 1 acre and 26 1/4 guntas of the land.

(16) It is argued that this is the only case of alienation which calls for action under Chief Commissioner's Notification of 1866, and the remaining cases relate to unauthorised encroachments. The Notification referred to is evidently the one issued by the Chief Commissioner on 17.11.1877 with No. 266. Because this portion was first alienated by being leased out prior to 31.1.1853, to be exact on 29.1.1849, and the alienation has continued since then, and because this area is now surrounded on all sides by buildings or roads and has no connection with the other portions of the S.No. it may, as proposed, be confirmed to the present occupant; the inam tenure being cancelled.

(17) As regards the other portions encroached upon and still conti-

T

"D"

"I-P"

"I" (after inspecting the spot on 2.11.42)

Ex X Y - I P

Confirmation of a portion of S.No. 13 of Hanumanthapura, Bangalore Sr. Jalut enroaches to Godhuriah's Mutt, to the present occupant for building purposes

4106/R

need to be treated as inam, the matter has been unnecessarily delayed for an unusually long period, running into decades, in spite of the clear orders issued by Govt from time to time, and no explanation has been furnished, indicating the cause for this delay A detailed report may perhaps be called for in this behalf, separately, and the further action to be taken to remove the encroachments decided thereafter.

Subd. (18) The facts of the case have been explained in the D.C's letter. The alienated portion of 2 gunthas may be recommended, be confirmed to the present occupant subject to payment of upset price @ Rs 200/- per acre & conversion fee of a like amount.

3/6/45

(19) Minutes

5/4/

APR 5 1945
7168

(20)

7/5

Rd. 3.5.45

(21) Draft subd
3-5-45

(22)

B. Record [I]

Issued No R-7084-B, dated the 16th May 1945
To - The H.C. with the connected records
" R.C. - The Comm for Settlement - The Ml Comm.
Sanctions the recommendation of the D.C.

WD 0726—GPB—25,000—1-9-44

Rev 106

...LB. 1945
...BRANCH.

No.Fl.565/43-44 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in My
Encl:- 5 files & records Bangalore, 15th February 1945.

To

Journey 184-44-1.

P. Suryanarayana Setti, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
General Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Confirmation of 2 guntas of land out of Devadaya Inam S.No.13, of Hanumanthapur Village, Bangalore (North) Taluq, belonging to Sri Godhuriah Mutt, facing Subedar Chattram Road, in Bangalore City.

19.2.45

I have the honor to state that S.No.13, of Hanumanthapur Village, is a devadaya inam land endowed to Sri Godhuriah Mutt. An extent of 4 acres 20 guntas out of this land has been acquired by the Bangalore Municipality awarding compensation at Rs 2500 per acre. During the course of inspection of this land by the local officers, it is seen that several persons have encroached upon this land and built houses, thereon. These cases of encroachments are under investigation and separate action will be taken on the merits of each case. But, in the case of 2 guntas of land marked 'C' in the sketch enclosed in the records, it is reported that this small extent ~~extent~~ was alienated so far back as 1849 by the then Vahivatdar of the mutt, and that, thereafter, it has changed several hands and the present holder, Mr.Ramachandrian, purchased it from Appiah, for Rs 2,500, on 28th July 1941. The statement of Mr. Ramachandriah, before the Amildar, shows that he was unaware of the inam tenure of the land, as Appiah, from whom he purchased it, gave him delivery of bonds relating to the previous transactions along with receipts for having paid the municipal taxes, and he was led to believe that the land was a non-agricultural land, and that this land which is ~~only~~ of only a small extent of 0-2 guntas, may be confirmed to him, after recovery of an upset price and conversion fine.

In consideration of the fact that the alienation took place long ago, and the party had paid a heavy sum for its purchase, the Sub Division Officer and the Amildar, after spot inspection, have fixed an upset price at Rs 2,000 per acre and a similar sum as conversion fine.

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, in his letter No.C.751 38-39 dated 11th January 1945 (copy enclosed), recommends that the price and the conversion fine, as fixed by the local officers, is fair and may be accepted. He, further, requests that, as the present holder,

Mr. Ramachandriah, cannot be evicted at this distance of tim the land having been alienated about 93 years ago, the inam tenure of the land may be cancelled and the extent of 0-2 guntas confirmed to Mr. Ramachandriah, for building purposes, subject to payment of upset price and conversion fine at the rate proposed by the local officers.

I agree with the views of the Deputy Commissioner, and request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for cancelling the inam tenure of 0-2 guntas of land out of S.No.13, and, for confirming the same to Mr. Ramachandriah, as recommended by him.

The connected records accompany.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Special Assistant Commissioner
for Inuzroi Commissioner.
(absent from Headquarters)

tter No.Muz.C.751/38-39 dated 11th Jany. 1945 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Confirmation of a plot of 2 guntas of land out of Devadaya inam S.No.13 of Hanumanthapura village, Bangalore North Taluk belonging to Sri Godhuraiya Mutt lacking Subedar Chattram Road.

In continuation of this office letter of even number dated 28th September 1943 and 10-7-44 re. payment of arrears of Kaithara due to the Pujari of the Godhuraiya's Mutt, Bangalore City I have the honor to state that the subject matter referred to above is one of the issues pending in connection with Inam S.No.13 of Hanumanthapura village belonging to Godhuraiya's Mutt.

'A' 2. The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City having in his letter No.L1-397/41 dated 4-11-41 requested the Deputy Commissioner to take steps for the demolitions of the constructions that were attempted by one Mr. Ramachandriah in Subedar Chattram Road abutting the channel opposite to Bondusa's Factory as he was constructing the building without a licens from the Municipality, enquiry was conducted by the Amildar, Bangalore North taluk to ascertain as to how the land in dispute passed into the hands of Mr. Ramachandriah. The Amildar reported in his No.H.C.346/41-42 dated 18-5-42 that one Siddalingaswamy, a previous archaka of the Mutt

'D' was said to have leased out a portion of the Inam land measuring about 2 guntas with a stone mantap of 5 ankanams standing thereon, in the year 1849 (Neelaka Sambatsara) to one Uddanna on an annual payment of 25 seers of rice for the 'Aradhane' of the previous Swamigalavaru, that this lease which was stipulated for 5 years at the beginning appeared to have continued uninterruptedly till the year 1862-63 (Dundubhi Sambatsavara),

'B' when Nallappa, son of Uddanna the previous lessee once again entered into an agreement with the said Siddalingaswamy, Archka of the above Mutt in respect of the above piece of land and took it for purposes of running "pattadi" for a period of 15 years from 1862-63 on an annual lease amount of Rs 15, that this long lease did not appear to have been terminated and the land restored to the Mutt, but it changed several hands and was ultimately purchased by the present alienee Mr. Ramachandriah for Rs 2600 from one K. Appayyanna and his children by means of a registered document

I on 28-7-41, that this Appayyanna had purchased this piece of land from Barode Nanjundappa and others on 14-4-39 and 29-10-36 by means of registered sale deeds and that it was not clear as to how the Barode family had come by this piece of land, ~~when was originally leased out by the Mutt authorities~~ but that it could be stated that they were in posses

'E' sion thereof from the year 1896 as could be seen from the receipts granted by the Municipality for payment of Municipal Taxes, produced by the ~~xxxxx xxxxxxx~~ party. It is clear that this piece of land which was originally leased out by the Mutt authorities to private parties as far back as 1849 never appears to have been restored to the institution. Finally, the Amildar sought instructions whether, in view of the ~~so~~ long existing alienation of this plot of 2 guntas of land since 1849, it might be

'R-I' confirmed to the present alienee Ramachandriah on levy of upset price at the present market rate, which would go to the funds of the institution in addition to conversion fine of Rs 2000 per acre or whether eviction proceedings might be pursued against him.

'I-P' 3 The Amildar further reported in his No. Muz.C.355/38-39 dated 4-8-42 that the upset price to be levied might be taken as an additional levy apart from the conversion fine which was payable for the use of the plot for building purposes and that the Takrardar Mr. Ramachandriah agreed that he would abide by the directions of the authorities and that he would stop the construction of the building. Again, in his report dated 10-8-42, the Amildar stated that the plot of land under dispute was not included in the extent of 4-26 acquired and sold by the Municipality that the levy of upset price of Rs 2000 per acre exclusive of conversion fine at a similar rate was therefore recommended as reasonable in view of the fact that the land had been alienated long back and that the party had already spent a heavy sum on it when he purchased it from Appayyanna and others.

'I-P' 4 The Sub Division Officer, Bangalore Sub Division in his report No. 821/41-42 dated 13-8-42 supported the Amildar's recommendation with the modification that the conversion fine might be levied at Rs 1500 per acre.

2-11-42 5 The spot was inspected by the then Deputy Commissioner on 3-11-1942.

6. The Sub Division Officer was asked in this No. dated 19-9-43 to submit a report in respect of the of alienation in this S.No.13 of Hanumanthapura, so that a consolreport might be made to the Muzrai Commissioner.

7. The Amildar, Bangalore North Taluk in his report No.Muz.C.355/38-3 dated 29-5-44 states that the case under dispute is the only case of alienation out of the land which calls for action under the Chief Commissioner's Notification of 1866, that the rest of the cases relate to unauthorised encroachments on the land by adjoining landholders and cannot be treated as alienation, that the action required to be taken in each case is different and that each case has to be dealt with on merits and cannot be clubbed with one another. Further, he reports that the land under dispute has been detached from the remaining portion of the S.No. and is surrounded on all sides by buildings and has no connection whatever with the portions encroached upon by private ~~persons~~ parties.

The Sub Division Officer in his Memo No.B2.821 dated 16-8-44 while endorsing the views of the Amildar states that if the conformation of the plot to the alienees is approved the inam tenure on 2 guntas has to be cancelled and that its appropriation for building purposes does not affect the institution or the other portions of the inam land as it is surrounded on all sides by buildings. In the circumstances stated above, I agree with the Sub Division Officer and recommend the cancellation of the Inam tenure of this piece of land measuring 2 guntas out of Devadaya Inam S.No. 13 of Hanumanthapura village, Bangalore North taluk and its confirmation, to the alienee Mr. Ramachandriah on payment of an upset price at Rs 2000 per acre in addition to conversion fine calculated at Rs 2000 per acre in view of the fact that the plot was alienated long back and that the present alienee has purchased it for a heavy sum from others. It may be stated here that the plot of 2 guntas of land in question (marked C in the sketch enclosed flagged A) is not included either in the portion of 4 acres and 25 guntas of land which was acquired by the municipality or in the portion of 11 guntas of land wrongly alienated on lease for a period of 30 years in favour of certain persons or in the portion of $2\frac{3}{4}$ guntas of land which was further notified for acquisition by the Municipality as could be seen from the sketch referred to above and appended to the file. Separate action will be taken on the encroachment by several landowners adjoining the inam S.No.13.

8. The connected file of vernacular records ending with Bangalore Sub Division Officer's Memo No.B2.821/41-42 dated 1-12-44 is enclosed for favour of reference and early orders.

"Copy"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

G. R. S. App. F VI—c

SUBJECT..... ....

.....

.....

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Diary

Read :

G

Letter No.P.1-C.565/43-44, dated the 15th February 1945, from the Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore, recommending sanction to (1) the cancellation of the inam tenure of two guntas of land out of S.No.13 of Hemmigepura, Bangalore Taluk, endowed to Godhuriah's Mutt, situated near Annamma temple, Bangalore City, and (2) the confirmation of this land to Ramachandriah the present occupant, for building purposes subject to payment of upset price of Rs.2000/- (two thousand) per acre and conversion fine or a similar amount.

Date c

ORDER NO. R7084-87 ommz 184-44-2

DATED, BANGALORE, THE 16th MAY 1945

Signed

Government are pleased to sanction the recommendation of the Huzrai Commissioner.

(DFT) for Secretary to Government, General Department.

To

May thi

(i The Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with the connected records.

(ii) " Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

(iii) " Commissioner for Settlement and Land Records

" Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality,

(iv) " Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

(v,

letter replied to .... A106/R ....

nd Revenue Secretariat

(Mucr Section)

3rd May 1945.

16 5 1945

Mr. R.K.V. .....

is a standing order ?

d in the Gazette,
i Part ?

id ?

at the disposal of the Press ?

d in the Gazette,
ia Part ?

Section Mustai

File No. 184-44

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— Letter No. R 1 — C565/43-44, dated the 15th February 1945, from the Mustai Commissioner in Mysore, recommending sanction to the cancellation of the inam-I-enure of two guntas of land out of S.No. 13 of Hanumanthapura, Bangalore Taluk, Endowed to Godhuriah's Mutt, situated near Annamma Temple Bangalore City, and the conformation Order thereon. No. of this land to Ramachandraiah, the present occupant, for building purposes.

ORDER NO. R. 7084-8

Dated the 16th May 1945.

The D/C, Bangalore Dist, reports that on the request of the Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City, to prevent one Ramachandraiah from continuing the construction with-

out a license, of a building on a
of Hanumanthapura, Bangalore North Taluk,

the Channel crossing the Subedar Chattram Road near Dhanraj's
Gold-Thread Factory, Bangalore city, the Amildar was asked to enquire into
the matter. The enquiry revealed that R.S. No. 13 (old
S. No. 23) measuring 7 acres 2 guntas and assessed at Rs. 27/=
has been endowed as devadaya inam to Godhuriah's Mutt
situated in the city, ~~and~~ that the portion built upon was
first leased out by Siddalingaiah, Poojari of the Mutt
to one Viddanna, a Vaek as 1849, that subsequently,
it was again leased out in 1862-3 to the son of Viddanna
and that, after a series of transfers, it came into the possession
of Ramachandraiah, who purchased it for Rs. 2,600/= on 28.7.41
from Appanniah, and commenced to erect the building. Rama-
chandraiah has stated that he was not aware of the fact that the
land ~~purchased by him~~ was a portion of inam S. No. because the
municipal tax receipts and transfer deeds in respect of this
portion of the S. No. were delivered to him by the vendor at the time of purchasing it.
and has requested that as he has paid a heavy price for the land
it may be left in his possession, if necessary, after recovering from
him an upset price and conversion fine.

List of Enclosures

Copied by

2. After inspecting the spot, the ~~Examined by~~ S.D.O., Bangalore
Sub-Division, has proposed that upset price at Rs. 2,000/= per acre
may be fixed from Ramachandraiah in addition to a conversion

WD 03696—GPB—30,000—9-2-40

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

fine at the same rate. The D.C. opines that as the land in question was alienated nearly a century ago and as Ramachandriah has purchased it recently, he cannot be evicted from it, and therefore, recommends that it may be confirmed to him subject to the recovery of upset price and conversion fine as proposed by the S.D.O. Agreeing with the D.C., the M.C. solicits sanction to the cancellation of the inam tenure of 0-2 guntas of S.No. 13 of Hanumanthapura, and its confirmation to Ramachandriah at an upset price of Rs. 2000/- (two thousand) per acre, conversion fine at the same rate being recovered from him as the land is utilised for a non-agricultural purpose.

2. In the circumstances, Govt. are pleased to sanction the recommendation of the M.C.

For Gen. Secy. to Govt.

List of Enclosures.

M.C.'s office.

(i) D.Dis 206/24-25 - D 4-12-24
(ii) R.dis. 30/27-28 " 15-9-27
(iii) R.dis. 169/34-35 " 8-5-35
(iv) R.dis. 57/28-29 " 29-10-28
(v) Vern. Records ending with Memo No. B.2 P.R.44-C 821/41-42, D. 1-12-44 from the S.D.O. B'lore to D.C.

To - The Amrut Commissioner in Mysore, with the connected records.
" Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
" Commissioner for Settlement and Land Records
" Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality
" Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Distt.

WD 0436—GPB—10 000—17-10-33